

you have turned the American people and the treasures that this country has over to the special interests when it did not need to be this way.

Mr. Speaker, the special interests may have bought the Capitol, but the American people are paying for it. Jack is gone, but others are leaving. This place will remain The House That Jack Built until we get serious and undertake real lobby and ethics reform and return to the work of the American people. They are struggling under the interests of higher energy costs, higher health care costs, higher educational costs. They have not had a raise in over 5 years, and the politics as usual, business as usual continues with the policies and making sure that the special interests get heard first.

This House is the time and this election will be about returning the people's House back to the American people and ensuring that that gavel does not open up the auction house but the people's House.

PROVIDING FOR CONSIDERATION OF H.R. 513, 527 REFORM ACT OF 2005

Mr. DREIER, from the Committee on Rules, submitted a privileged report (Rept. No. 109-404) on the resolution (H. Res. 755) providing for consideration of the bill (H.R. 513) to amend the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971 to clarify when organizations described in section 527 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 must register as political committees, and for other purposes, which was referred to the House Calendar and ordered to be printed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BURTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. BURTON of Indiana addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

OCALA NATIONAL FOREST

Mr. KELLER. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to claim the time of the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BURTON).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Florida?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. KELLER) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. KELLER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in opposition to the proposed sale of 300,000 acres of national forest lands, which includes almost 1,000 acres of the Ocala National Forest in my congressional district.

The administration's 2007 budget proposes this sale. Their rationale for selling our national forest lands is to raise \$800 million for rural roads and schools;

and they say these parcels are not crown jewels of our national forests. Well, a picture is worth a thousand words, so let me show you a photograph of a specific piece of land in the Ocala National Forest which is marked for sale by the administration. This looks like a crown jewel to me. Does anyone really believe that this piece of land would look better as a shopping center, strip mall, or as a condominium development?

While our budget shortfall is temporary, ruining pristine national lands is permanent. We cannot sell national forest land every time there is a budget shortfall. This is a dangerous precedent for Congress to set. Our financial problems need to be addressed over the long terms, not through the shortsighted sale of national forest treasures to the highest bidder.

Mr. Speaker, our national forest lands are worth protecting. Millions of Americans each year use our national forests to go hiking, fishing, hunting, camping, swimming, horseback riding, and canoeing. The Ocala National Forest also provides a habitat for thousands of animal species such as rare birds and black bears.

Mr. Speaker, to sum it all up, I believe the idea of selling off our national forest lands is environmentally reckless and financially shortsighted, and I am not alone. On March 7, I submitted a Florida delegation letter to the U.S. Department of Agriculture. It was signed by both of our U.S. Senators, Republican Senator Mel Martinez and Democrat Senator BILL NELSON. It was also signed by an overwhelming bipartisan majority of our U.S. House Members. This letter specifically opposes the sale of 1,000 acres of the Ocala National Forest, and, in general, also opposes the sale of 300,000 acres of forest lands all across the country in 41 different States.

On March 13, all four of the living former Chiefs of the U.S. Forest Service sent a letter to Congress also strongly opposing the auctioning off of 300,000 acres of national forest lands. On March 28, I submitted a bipartisan letter with Congressman BEN CHANDLER, the Democrat from Kentucky, to the House Budget Committee, signed by 54 Congressmen opposing the sale of 300,000 acres of national forest lands.

Well, where do we go from here? The U.S. Department of Agriculture will continue to receive comments on this proposed sale until May 1. It is our hope and request that the administration withdraw this proposal.

Fortunately, the House budget which we will be voting on this week does not contain any language endorsing the administration's proposal to sell these forest lands. If the administration does not withdraw their proposal, I am confident and optimistic that this House will vote down this proposal with a very large bipartisan vote. Our children and grandchildren deserve no less.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gen-

tleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. RANGEL addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

MEDICARE PRESCRIPTION DRUG PROGRAM

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent to claim the time of the gentleman from New York (Mr. RANGEL).

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Ohio?

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Ms. KAPTUR. Mr. Speaker, I rise tonight to address the confusing new Medicare Prescription Drug Program, in light of yesterday's visit to my Ohio congressional district by Bush administration Health and Human Services Director Michael Leavitt. Yesterday, Monday, April 3, Secretary Leavitt arrived hours late in a blue bus after people had waited and waited in very cold weather. He spent only a few minutes, shook the hands of a couple of staff people, and spoke briefly with only two hand-picked seniors, and, by the way, spoke to them in front of the news cameras, before making his prepared remarks to the press.

To the one senior that had a chance to ask the Secretary a question, explaining that they could not afford their medicines, he towed the administration line saying, "This is a good program that helps a lot of people."

When asked by one senior about the program not covering his wife's medication needs, incredibly, the Secretary answered in the same way, and this was to the one person, "This is a good program that helps a lot of people."

Now, the Secretary had his picture taken. It was on the front page of our newspaper, but of the 79 people in the room, he shook hands with only two, spoke to only one and left. I guess he is doing this all over the country.

The Secretary says, yeah, this program has had a few bumps in the road. It is a new program but we fixed them. No, Mr. Secretary, you have not fixed them and they are more than bumps in the road. The one thing that is guaranteed is that the pharmaceutical companies are making billions.

Here are a couple of comments that have come from consumers and seniors in my district. A husband and wife team says they take five prescriptions each. Under their old plan they had a 20 percent co-pay, but by this summer they will have reached the \$2,250 cap. And the new drug plan is a farce and an insult to seniors of this country because now they are going to have to pay the amounts beyond that and they ask, "Is there anyone that cares or is listening in Washington?"

Another husband wrote, "It is costing my wife and me more per month for